



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/776,472

02/11/2004

Daniel James Branagan

NANO004U

4067

32047

7590

08/12/2011

GROSSMAN, TUCKER, PERREAULT & PFLEGER, PLLC  
55 SOUTH COMMERCIAL STREET  
MANCHESTER, NH 03101

EXAMINER

ZHENG, LOIS L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1733

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/12/2011

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/776,472	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BRANAGAN, DANIEL JAMES	
	<b>Examiner</b> LOIS ZHENG	<b>Art Unit</b> 1733	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LOIS ZHENG. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Steven Grossman. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 August 2011.

Type:    ☒ Telephonic    ☐ Video Conference  
           ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant    ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:    ☐ Yes    ☐ No.  
     If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed    ☐ 101    ☒ 112    ☐ 102    ☒ 103    ☐ Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dorfman US 4,822,415, Kim et al. US 5,643,531.

**Substance of Interview**  
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

*The examiner and the applicant's representative discussed new matter issues with new claim amendments and possible additional amendments to overcome prior art rejections.*

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Lois Zheng/	
--------------	--